

Web address for IRA standards: <http://www.reading.org/advocacy/standards/introduction.html>

Course Format:

Seminars will meet monthly and will resemble a professional conference round table discussion. This course is designed to provide an orientation to doctoral level studies in literacy and leadership. Candidates will have the opportunity to explore topics of current interest and use these discussions to further their personal study and research goals. Some sessions will be led by leaders in the field. Students will read and respond to selected pieces authored by those leaders.

Course Content

Individual seminar topics will be presented monthly and will change for each semester in which candidates are enrolled. Topics will cover a wide variety of issues of interest to literacy educators and will include:

- Current research being conducted by faculty on campus (provides research opportunities for candidates)
- Current research being conducted by leaders in field of literacy and leadership presented by invitation
- Book clubs formed to discuss theory and practice that might otherwise not be covered in a class
- Presentations and discussions about the role of a professional in the fields graduates of the program might find themselves
- Presentations and discussions about survival of graduate school and life outside graduate school

Topics to be continued throughout the program and which are embedded in the content:

- Meeting the literacy needs of a diverse population
- Implementing and integrating appropriate literacy technology in the classroom
- Professionalism how a professional educator thinks, acts, and speaks
- Selection of course material for portfolio

Course requirements

1. The student will attend required workshop meetings (15 hours per one hour credit).
2. The student will complete all written assignments for the course.
3. The student will actively participate in meetings and any online discussions.

Course Expectations

Given the heavy emphasis on discussion and engagement, attendance is a requirement.

GRADING:

Student will receive a grade of for each semester in which attendance and requirements are met.

Disability Statement:

It is the policy of Sam Houston State University that no otherwise qualified disabled individual shall, solely by reason of his/her handicap, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any academic or Student Life program or activity. Disabled students may request help with academically related problems stemming from individual disabilities from their instructors, school/department chair, or by contacting the Chair of the Committee for Continuing Assistance for Disabled Students and Director of the Counseling Center, Lee Drain Annex, or by calling (936) 294-1720. Any student with a disability that affects their academic performance is expected to arrange for a conference with the instructor in order that appropriate strategies can be considered to insure that participation and achievement opportunities are not impaired. The physically impaired may contact the Director of the Counseling Center as Chair of the Committee for Continuing Assistance for Disabled Students by phone, extension (936-294-1720).

Student Absences on Religious Holy Days Policy

Section 51.911(b) of the Texas Education Code requires that an institution of higher education excuse a student from attending classes or other required activities, including examinations, for the observance of a religious holy day, including travel for that purpose. A student whose absence is excused under this subsection may not be penalized for that absence and shall be allowed to take an examination or complete an assignment from which the student is excused within a reasonable time after the absence.

University policy 861001 provides the procedures to be followed by the student and instructor. A student desiring to absent himself/herself from a scheduled class in order to observe (a) religious holy day(s) shall present to each instructor involved a written statement concerning the religious holy day(s). This request must be made in the first fifteen days of the semester or the first seven days of a summer session in which the absence(s) will occur. The instructor will complete a form notifying the student of a reasonable time frame in which the missed assignments and/or examinations are to be completed.

Bibliography:

Abbott, M., Greenwood, C.R., Buzhardt, J., & Tapia, Y. (2006). Using technology-based teacher support tools to scale up the classwide peer tutoring program. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 22, 47–64.

Alvermann, D.E. (2004). Seeing and then seeing again. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 36(3), 289–302.

- Biancarosa, G., & Snow, C. E. (2004). *Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Castek, J., Bevans-Mangelson, J., & Goldstone, B. (2006). Reading adventures online: Five ways to introduce the new literacies of the Internet through children's literature. *The Reading Teacher*, 59(7), 714–728.
- Donohoo, J. (2006). Increasing literacy: Reflections on a blog pilot project. *Learning and Leading With Technology*, 33(8), 34–35.
- Durkin, D. (1978). What classroom observations reveal about reading comprehension instruction. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 14(4), 481–533.
- Goodman, K.S. (2006). *The truth about DIBELS: What it is, what it does*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Jacobs, G.E. (2006). Fast times and digital literacy: Participation roles and portfolio construction within instant messaging. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 38(2), 171–196.
- Kamil, M. L. (2002). *Adolescents and literacy: Reading for the 21 st century*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education. Retrieved March 27, 2005.
- Mallette, M.H., Henk, W.A., & Melnick, S.A. (2004). The influence of Accelerated Reader on the affective literacy orientations of intermediate grade students. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 36(1), 73–84.
- Malloy, J.A., & Gambrell, L.B. (2006). Approaching the unavoidable: Literacy instruction and the Internet. *The Reading Teacher*, 59(5), 482–484.
- Manning, M., Chumley, S., & Underbakke, C. (2006). *Scientific reading assessment: Targeted intervention and follow-up lessons*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Meltzer, J. (2002). *Adolescent literacy resources: Linking research and practice*. Providence, RI: Northeast and Islands Regional Educational Laboratory at Brown University. Retrieved April 25, 2006.
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). *Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction* (NIH Publication No. 00–4769). Washington, DC: Author.
- Norman, K.A., & Calfee, R.C. (2004). Tile Test: A hands-on approach for assessing phonics in the early grades. *The Reading Teacher*, 58(1), 42–52.
- Partridge, H., Invernizzi, M., Meier, J., & Sullivan, A. (2003). Linking assessment and instruction via Web-based technology: A case study of a statewide early literacy initiative.

Reading Online. Retrieved December 1, 2004, from www.readingonline.org/articles/art_index.asp?HREF=partridge_index.html

Pavonetti, L., Brimmer, K., & Ciplewski, J. (2002/2003). Accelerated Reader: What are the lasting effects on the reading habits of middle school students exposed to Accelerated Reader in elementary grades? *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 46(4), 300–311.

Roland, J. (2006). Measuring up. *Learning & Leading with Technology*, 34(2), 12–17.

Topping, K. (1999). Formative assessment of reading comprehension by computer: Advantages and disadvantages of the Accelerated Reader software. *Reading Online*. Retrieved December 1, 2004, from www.readingonline.org/past/past_index.asp?HREF=critical_topping_index.html