

College of Education Productivity & Performance Report 2006 - 2007

Sam Houston State University

Today's Learners, Tomorrow's Leaders



Faculty Quality/Productivity

Tenured/Tenure-Track Faculty Presentations

	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
SHSU Presentations	945	926	1102
COE Presentations	168	364	417
SHSU tenured/ tenure-track faculty	354	392	392
COE tenured/ tenure-track faculty	60	62	70
SHSU Presentation- to- Faculty ratio	2.64	1.70	2.13
COE Faculty-to- presentation ratio	2.8	5.87	5.96


The background of the slide is a photograph of a desk. In the top left corner, a desk lamp with a blue shade is turned on, casting light on the desk. The desk surface is covered with several sheets of paper, some of which have faint, illegible text and diagrams. A ruler is visible on the right side of the desk, and two markers (one red and one white) are lying on the papers. The overall scene suggests a workspace or a classroom setting.

Faculty Quality/Productivity

- COE tenure-track faculty represent 18% of the SHSU faculty.
- COE faculty produced 34% of SHSU's publications and 38% of SHSU's presentations in 2006-2007.


Teaching Scores by Indicator

COE % Exceeding SHSU Mean




COE Mean	4.3	4.4	4.3
SHSU Mean	4.1	4.2	3.9


COE Undergraduate SCH Production




COE Masters SCH Production



COE Doctoral SCH Production



Change in Student Teaching Program 2003-2007




Are our candidates learning?

TEXES PASS RATES Undergraduate and Graduate Certifications

	All	White	A-A	Hisp	Other
2003-2004 Final Pass Rate	97% N=341	97% N=279	90% N=14	100% N=42	100% N=6
2004-2005 Final Pass Rate	99% N=421	99% N=337	98% N=23	97% N=56	100% N=5
2005-2006 Final Pass Rate YTD	98% N=621	99% N=504	95% N=34	98% N=69	96% N=14


EC-4 TExES Exam Performance by Domain

Fall 2006 - Spring 2007



Student Teacher Performance

SHSU Overall Teacher Work Sample Results




Legend

- Target
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Fall 2006 Spring 2007

Student Teacher Performance

Professional Development Appraisal System
Fall 2006 - Spring 2007




* As rated by the university supervisor

Domain

Student Teacher Performance


Student Teaching Summative Evaluation - State Pedagogy and
Professional Responsibility Skill Standards
Fall 2006 - Spring 2007



* As rated by the classroom mentor teacher

Student Teacher Performance


Student Teaching Summative Evaluation - SHSU Dispositions Standards
Fall 2006 - Spring 2007



* As rated by the classroom mentor teacher

Where do our candidates go ?

Distribution of Student Teacher Placements
Fall 2006 - Spring 2007



Source: SHSU Office of Field Experience

Number of Placements

SHSU Graduate Saturation

1994-2006

District	School FTEs	SHSU FTEs	SHSU Portion
New Waverly	58.2	18	30.9%
Centerville	73.2	20.9	28.6%
Huntsville	415.1	116	27.9%
Trinity	94.6	21.9	23.2%
Buffalo	37	8	21.6%
Leon	61	13	21.3%
Madisonville	150.7	32	21.2%
Magnolia	226.5	47.3	20.9%
Livingston	165.7	33	19.9%
Montgomery	201.5	39.7	19.7%
Conroe	1210.8	236	19.5%
Willis	290.1	56.1	19.3%
Cleveland	129.8	23.9	18.4%
Spring	250.4	44.1	17.6%
Shepherd	108	18.4	17.0%
Splendor	92.9	15	16.1%
Coldspring	51.5	8	15.5%
Tomball	42.3	6.5	15.4%
Brenham	131.2	20	15.2%
New Caney	179.7	24.8	13.8%

How prepared are our teachers?

Competency	Principals' evaluation*	Graduates' self-evaluation*
Content knowledge	5.5	4.7
Plan & implement	5.4	5.0
Assessment of student learning	5.3	4.8
Modify for diverse needs	5.0	4.0
Use technology	5.1	4.8
Supportive learning environment	5.5	5.3

* 6 point scale