Sam Houston State University Academic Policy Statement 820317 The Faculty Evaluation System Page 3 of 11 Revised April 28, 2007

reflect his/her productivity may appeal his/her summary rating as described in Section 6.

- 1.05 The "FES Summary Report" is to reflect faculty activity for the twelve-month period beginning January 1 of each calendar year and ending December 31 of the same calendar year. Should a faculty member change his/her workload during this twelve-month period, he/she will negotiate with his/her academic dean and chair to determine the weights from Table I to be used.
- 1.06 Should a faculty member receive an administrative FES X assignment (see APS 790601), the faculty member will receive a separate evaluation for the FES X assignment by the supervisor of the assignment as well as the FES 5 evaluation. The weights for FES 1, 2, 3, and 4 are not adjusted and the faculty member receives an FES 5-based merit recommendation as if he/she does not have a separate FES X assignment. In a like manner, the faculty member's performance of the FES X responsibility is evaluated and a merit recommendation is made as if the FES X assignment is the faculty member's sole responsibility. The final merit recommendation is the weighted average of the two merit recommendations. The weight for FES X is the proportional reduction in the teaching load and the weight for FES 5 "one minus the FES X weight."
- 1.07 The timelines for the completion of the forms are to be established by the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.
- 1.08 Evaluation for merit pay purposes should be based on data covering only the specific time period.

2. CHAIR'S EVALUATION OF FACULTY TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

- 2.01 A department/school chair may decide to use a faculty committee to assist him/her in evaluating faculty teaching effectiveness.
- 2.02 Teaching includes, among other things, classroom and laboratory instruction; development of new courses, laboratories, and teaching methods; publication of and/or development of electronic instructional materials; academic advising; and supervision of undergraduate and graduate students. The chair's rating of faculty teaching effectiveness should be based on as much information as can be reasonably obtained.