2014 Core Curriculum Proposal to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board Submitted by Sam Houston State University

Component I: Course Selection Process

Describe the institution's process for ensuring that each course aligns with the Foundational Component Area description and contains the required Core Objectives.

In March 2012 Provost Jaimie Hebert appointed an ad-hoc Core Curriculum Committee to review and make recommendations for Sam Houston State University's (SHSU) Fall 2014 revised Core Curriculum. The 2014 Core Curriculum Committee consisted of faculty and administrators from each of the institution's seven academic colleges: College of Business Administration, College of Criminal Justice, College of Education, College of Fine Arts and Mass Communication, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, College of Health Sciences, and College of Sciences. In addition, five ex-officio members were selected from the faculty senate, advising center, student success office, teaching excellence center, and academic assessment office. Co-chaired by the Associate Provost and the Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs, the Committee was charged with (a) the development of a Core course selection process, (b) the review and selection of proposed Core courses, and (c) the determination of Core Objective attainment levels within each selected Core curriculum course. The following link provides a list of the committee and subcommittee members: http://www.shsu.edu/dept/academic-affairs/curriculum/core-assessment-committee.html

Due to the nature and magnitude of changes within the THECB's Fall 2014 Texas Core Curriculum, SHSU elected to re-evaluate its Core hour distribution and required proposals for all courses considered for inclusion in the revised Core. The Committee met regularly throughout the process and solicited feedback and recommendations from the university community. In May 2012 an online survey was administered to academic faculty and administrators to solicit feedback on the use of the 6 flexible semester credit hours (SCH) within the 42 SCH Core. Survey results were used to initiate conversations regarding the proposed 2014 Core at a series of town hall meetings held throughout the summer of 2012. The 2014 Core Curriculum Committee finalized the hour distribution of the 2014 Core and developed Core proposal requirements based upon the feedback received from this process.

Core course proposals required:

- A course description indicating the foundational component area (FCA) to be addressed.
- An explanation as to how the course would address the FCA requirements and Core objectives.
- A statement of student learning outcomes that addressed the FCA definitions.
- An explanation of how the Core objectives would be addressed, including specific strategies and proposed assessment plans.
- A 15-week class schedule including topics to be discussed.
- A course syllabus.

The Core Proposal form can be found at: http://www.shsu.edu/dotAsset/6b5ce41a-d28b-4c48-9f0f-0f9de64e0717.ukn

Following the development of the Core Proposal form, sub-committees were developed for each of the FCAs. Each sub-committee was responsible for developing rubrics to evaluate the Core proposals and for review of all submissions within their respective areas. Core proposal rubrics can be found at: http://www.shsu.edu/dept/academic-affairs/curriculum/rubrics_examples.html

From October 2012 through April 2013, subcommittee members evaluated Core submissions and made recommendations for approval to the committee as a whole. If a Core submission was not recommended for approval the academic department was provided with feedback and given an opportunity to make revisions and resubmit. Final Core course recommendations required approval from the 2014 Core Curriculum Committee subcommittees, the 2014 Core Curriculum Committee, the SHSU Academic Affairs Council, and the University Provost. SHSU's proposed 2014 Core Curriculum courses are listed in the appendix.

Appendix Sam Houston State University-Proposed 2014 Core Curriculum

Course Number & Title as of Fall 2013 Inventory	Course Number & Title Effective Fall 2014 (Pending Curriculur Changes)
010 Communication (2 courses) [6 Credit Hours]	
ENGL 1301 Composition I	
ENGL 1302 Composition II	
020 Mathematics (1 course) [3-4 Credit hours]	
MATH 1314 Pre Calculus Algebra	
MATH 1316 Plane Trigonometry	
MATH 1324 Mathematics for Managerial Decision Making	
MATH 1332 College Mathematics	
MATH 1384 Introduction to the Foundation of Mathematics I	
MATH 1410 Elementary Functions	
MATH 1420 Calculus I	
*PHIL 3362 Introduction to Contemporary Logic	PHIL 2352 Introduction to Contemporary Logic
030 Life and Physical Sciences (2 4-hour courses with labs) [8 Credit Hours]	1 , 3
BIOL 1301/1101 Environmental Science Lecture/Lab	BIOL 1401 Environmental Science
BIOL 1308/1108 Contemporary Biology Lecture/Lab	BIOL 1408 Contemporary Biology
BIOL 1311/1111 General Botany Lecture/Lab	BIOL 1411 General Botany
BIOL 1313/1113 General Zoology Lecture/Lab	BIOL 1413 General Zoology
BIOL 2401 Human Anatomy	5.52
BIOL 1436 Foundations of Science (Integrated Science)	
CHEM 1406 Inorganic and Environmental Chemistry	
CHEM 1407 Introductory Organic and Biochemistry	
CHEM 1411 General Chemistry I	
CHEM 1412 General Chemistry II	050044047M (I 1015 4 (I 4 11 I I I
GEOG 1301/1101 Weather and Climate Lecture/Lab	GEOG 1401 Weather and Climate (Lecture and Lab)
GEOG 1436 Foundations of Science (Integrated Science)	
GEOL 1303/1103 Physical Geology Lecture/Lab	GEOL 1403 Physical Geology and Lab
GEOL 1305/1105 Geologic Hazards Lecture/Lab	GEOL 1405 Geologic Hazards and Resources
GEOL 1304/1104 Historical Geology Lecture/Lab	GEOL 1404 Historical Geology
GEOL 1436 Foundations of Science (Integrated Science)	
PHYS 1311/1111 Solar System Astronomy Lecture/Lab	PHYS 1404 Solar System Astronomy
PHYS 1312/1112 Stars and Galaxies Lecture/Lab	PHYS 1403 Stars and Galaxies
040 Language, Philosophy, and Cultural Studies [3 credit hours]	
ARAB 2311 Intermediate Arabic I	
ARAB 2312 Intermediate Arabic II	
BESL 2301 Multicultural Influences on Learning	
ENGL 2332 World Literature I: Before 17th Century	
ENGL 2342 World Literature II	ENGL 2333 World Literature II: 17th Century and After
F0LG 2311 Intermediate Foreign Language	
F0LG 2312 Intermediate Foreign Language II	
FREN 2311 Intermediate French I	
FREN 2312 Intermediate French II	
GEOG 1321 People, Place and Environment: An Introduction to Geography	
GEOG 2355 World Regional Geography: Europe, Asia, and Australia	
GEOG 2356 World Regional Geography: Latin America, Africa, and South Asia	
GERM 2311 Intermediate German I	
GERM 2312 Intermediate German II	
HIST 2311 World History from Dawn of Civilization to Middle Ages	
HIST 2312 World History from the Renaissance to the Age of Imperialism	
HLTH 2383 Multi Cultural Health Issues	
MCOM 1330 Mass Communication and Society	MCOM 1330 Analysis of Electronic Culture
MUSI 1379 Survey of World Music Cultures	1000 / marysis of Lieutionic Guitale
·	
PHIL 2306 Contemporary Moral Issues	<u> </u>
PHIL 2361 Introduction to Philosophy	CONII 2244 Intermedicto Accordence Co. 1
SGNL 2401 Intermediate American Sign Language I	SGNL 2311 Intermediate American Sign Language I

Appendix Sam Houston State University-Proposed 2014 Core Curriculum

Course Number & Title as of Fall 2013 Inventory	Course Number & Title Effective Fall 2014 (Pending Curriculur Changes)					
SGNL 2402 Intermediate American Sign Language II	SGNL 2312 Intermediate American Sign Language II					
SOCI 2319 Introduction to Ethnic Studies						
SPAN 2311 Intermediate Spanish I						
SPAN 2312 Intermediate Spanish II						
050 Creative Arts (1 course) 3 credit hours						
AGRI 2399 Floral Design						
ARTS 1301 Introduction to the Visual Arts						
ARTS 1302 Exploring Contemporary Art						
ARTS 1303 Pre-Renaissance Art History						
FACS 1360 Basic Principles of Design						
FAMC 2301 Creative Arts Seminar						
MUSI 1301 Introduction to the Study of Music						
MUSI 1306 Music Appreciation						
MUSI 2348 Survey of Music Literature						
MUSI 2364 History of Rock, Jazz and Popular Music						
THEA 1364 Acting I	THEA 1364 Beginning Acting					
060 American History (2 courses) [6 credit hours]						
HIST 1301 US History to 1876						
HIST 1302 US History since 1876						
070 Government/Political Science (2 courses) [6 credit hours]						
POLS 2301 Principles of American Government - National and State	POLS 2305 American Government (Modified Course)					
POLS 2306 Texas Government						
080 Social and Behavioral Sciences (1 course) [3 credit hours]						
AGRI 2360 Animals and Society						
COMS 2386 Interpersonal Communication						
CRIJ 2361 Introduction to the Criminal Justice System						
ECON 2300 Introduction to Economics						
ECON 2301 Principles of Macroeconomics						
ECON 2302 Principles of Microeconomics						
PHIL 2303 Critical Thinking						
PSYC 1301 Introduction to Psychology						
SOCI 1301 Principles of Sociology						
SOCI 1306 Social Problems						
Component Area Option (1 course) [3 credit hours]						
BUAD 2321 Design and Presentation of Business Projects [Communication]						
COMS 1361 Public Speaking [Communication]						
COMS 2382 Speech for Business and Professions [Communication]	COMS 2382 Communication for Business and Professions [Communication]					
MCOM 1371 Audio Production and Performance [Communication]						
Any additional 3-hour class from Language/Philosophy/Culture Studies Component						
1 credit hour if needed to complete 42 hours						
ECON 1100 Economics of Social Problems [Social & Behavioral Sciences]						
KINE 2115 Lifetime Health and Wellness [Social & Behavioral Sciences]						
NGLI 1101 Research in the Digital Age [Communication]						
42 total credit hours						

^{*} Course Accepted in Cases of Documented Math Disability

2014 Core Curriculum Proposal to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board Submitted by Sam Houston State University

Component II: The Core Objective Assessment Plan

A. Describe the institution's process to determine the appropriate level of attainment of each Core Objective

Following initial course selection by the 2014 Core Curriculum Committee, each core course was reviewed a second time to determine the level of attainment for each Core Objective. With the understanding that not all courses in each component area would provide exhaustive instruction for each applicable Core Objective, the Committee elected to develop a Core Objective Attainment Map. The Committee members were asked to review the core course proposals and syllabi and consult with the appropriate discipline faculty to address this question: "Does the course provide content and activities that provide students with an introduction, a re-enforcement, or an emphasis of the specified skill [Core Objective]?" The resulting Core Objective Attainment Map will be utilized (a) to ensure each student completing the Core Curriculum at Sam Houston State University (SHSU) receives the appropriate level of instruction for each Core Objective and (b) to determine appropriate course sequences for assessment sampling.

When course-based assessments are deemed necessary and appropriate, student work samples will be identified and collected based on Core Objective attainment levels. For example, work samples may be collected from a course that "Introduces" a particular Core Objective if a pre- and post- assessment approach is selected and corresponding work samples are collected from a course that "Emphasizes" or "Reinforces" the Core Objective. In contrast, in course-embedded end-of-experience assessments, work samples could be assessed from courses that "Emphasize" or "Reinforce" a particular Core Objective with no collection from those that only "Introduce" the content.

2014 Core Curriculum Proposal to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board Submitted by Sam Houston State University

Component II: The Core Objective Assessment Plan

B. Describe the institution's plan for assessment of each Core Objective. The assessment of the Core curriculum is an evaluation of attainment of the Core Objectives.

Assessment Methods

A 2014 Core Assessment Committee was formed following the core course selection process. Membership consisted of administrators and faculty from each of the institution's seven academic colleges: College of Business Administration, College of Criminal Justice, College of Education, College of Fine Arts and Mass Communication, College of Humanities and Social Sciences, College of Health Sciences, and College of Sciences. Co-chaired by the Assistant Vice President for Academic Planning and Assessment and the Director of Assessment, the Committee was charged with recommending a comprehensive core curriculum assessment plan. The Committee reviewed assessment method options for each of the core objectives, seeking institutional expertise where necessary. The overarching recommendations of the Committee encouraged the use of (a) existing assessment methods currently in use at the academic program level, (b) institution-wide, nationally normed measures where appropriate, and (c) course-embedded assessments in "gatekeeper" courses or course sets. Based on these recommendations, the following assessment philosophy emerged.

Sam Houston State University will use multiple assessment methods to determine the extent to which students are meeting the THECB Core Objectives. Where possible, multiple direct measures will be utilized and supplemented with relevant and valid indirect measures for each core objective. Assessment methods and data will be integrated into existing assessment strategies and used for both core curriculum and degree-specific programmatic improvement. The following describes the preliminary assessment plan for each of the Core Objectives. Following implementation and initial data collection, assessment methods and timelines may be altered as deemed necessary to reflect both the findings from assessments and identified course-taking patterns of our students. Please see Appendix A for a summary of measures and Appendix B for a summative timeline.

Critical Thinking

• Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT)

The CAT is a nationally normed, short answer essay test designed to assess critical thinking and problem solving skills. Specifically, the faculty-scored, one-hour test evaluates students' abilities with regard to evaluating information, creative thinking, problem solving, and communication. The CAT will be administered each fall semester to approximately 500 students, with a rotating course population to allow for college and department-specific results. Over a three-year cycle all colleges at SHSU will participate in the CAT assessment. Please see Appendix C for a CAT administration cycle. [Direct Measure]

• Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)

The TACTS is a locally developed proprietary instrument designed to measure critical thinking, empirical, and quantitative skills. This 45 minute, multiple choice exam is administered annually in PHIL 2303: Critical Thinking. [Direct Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual

questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Communication

• Writing in the Disciplines (WID) Program

The WID Program at SHSU is designed to assist faculty in finding ways to incorporate writing to learn and writing as a process and product, so that students can develop the critical thinking and writing skills necessary for literate citizens. As part of the Program, all undergraduate students complete 18 semester credit hours (SCHs) of writing enhanced coursework (6 SCHs from upperdivision courses in the major field of study, 6 SCHs from English coursework, and 6 SCHs from any academic field of choice). Writing enhanced course options exist in each academic college and department, as well as in numerous proposed core curriculum courses. Each spring semester, roughly 500 student writing artifacts will be collected from a rotation of writing enhanced courses and evaluated through the use of a standardized, internally developed, writing rubric. Approximately 450 artifacts in each evaluation cycle will come from upper division students with 50 coming from lower division writing students for baseline comparison purposes. Over a three-year period, all colleges at SHSU will participate in the WID assessment. Data will be aggregated at the institution, college, and department levels. Please see Appendix D for a WID administration cycle. [Direct Measure]

• English Course-Level Assessment

Each spring semester, writing samples from freshman, sophomore, and senior English writing enhanced courses will be collected, reviewed, and evaluated against a common, internally developed rubric by the faculty within the Department of English. Approximately 20 percent of the student enrollment in those courses will be sampled. [Direct Measure]

• <u>Course-Embedded Speech/Presentation Assessment</u>

Each fall semester, student speeches and/or presentations in selected core courses (e.g., COMS 1361, COMS 2382, MCOM 1371, BUAD 2321) will be evaluated with a standardized, internally developed, oral and visual communication rubric. The Office of Academic Planning and Assessment will work with the respective faculty for each selected course to determine if the rubric will be applied by the faculty member in the classroom, or if the student speeches/presentations will be electronically captured for evaluation by an external scoring committee. [Direct Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Empirical and Quantitative Skills

• Critical Thinking Assessment Test

The CAT is a nationally normed, short answer essay test designed to assess critical thinking and problem solving skills. Specifically, the faculty-scored, one-hour test evaluates students' abilities with regard to information evaluation, creative thinking, problem solving, and communication. The CAT will be administered each fall semester to approximately 500 students, with a rotating

course population to allow for college and department-specific results. Over a three-year period, all colleges at SHSU will participate in the CAT assessment. Please see Appendix C for a CAT administration cycle. [Direct Measure]

• Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)

The TACTS is a locally developed proprietary instrument designed to measure critical thinking, empirical, and quantitative skills. This 45 minute, multiple choice exam is administered annually in PHIL 2303: Critical Thinking. [Direct Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Teamwork

• Course-Embedded Teamwork Assessment

Each spring semester, student performance and behaviors on team-based, in-classroom assignments will be evaluated with a standardized, internally developed rubric. A triangulation approach will be employed with a student self-assessment, a student peer-assessment, and an instructor observation assessment. Upon implementation, assessments will occur in freshman biology and Foundations of Science courses (BIOL 1401, BIOL 1408, BIOL 1411, BIOL 1413, BIOL 1436, and GEOL 1436). Existing assessment of teamwork in these areas will serve as a pilot for data collection and benchmark development, with expansion of course-embedded teamwork assessments to follow. [Direct Measure]

Teamwork Assessment Day

Every other spring semester, the Office of Academic Planning and Assessment will host a Teamwork Assessment Day. The Teamwork Assessment Day will be piloted in Spring 2015. A random selection of graduating seniors will be invited to participate in a teamwork activity (currently under design). Student participants will be assigned to teams, provided with a team project prompt, and directly observed and scored by faculty using an internally developed teamwork rubric. [Direct Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Social Responsibility

• Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment

The ACE program at SHSU prepares students to use the skills and knowledge learned in their academic coursework to make a difference and improve life within their community by understanding their roles as community members at the local, regional/state, national, and international levels. Academic courses participating in the ACE program require students to

submit a written reflective assignment about their participation in the program. Each fall semester, a sampling of the reflective assignments will be collected and evaluated against a common, internally developed social responsibility rubric. Data will be aggregated at the institutional, college, and department levels. [Direct Measure]

• Course-Embedded Government/Political Science Assessment

Each fall semester, course embedded test questions (i.e., multiple choice, short answer, and essay) focusing on civic engagement and responsibility will be administered in all sections of POLS 2305: American Government. [Direct Measure]

• Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale

Each fall semester, students enrolled in ACE designated courses complete the Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale. The scale contains Likert-item and short answer prompts on student attitudes toward community based learning, course impact on student skill levels, and additional instructional feedback for professors (see Appendix E). Data will be aggregated at the institutional, college, department, and faculty levels. [Indirect Measure]

• Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)

The Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI) is a nationally administered survey designed to measure student relationships and connections between global student learning and curricular, co-curricular, and community-based experiences. The survey addresses the cognitive, intrapersonal, and interpersonal dimensions of how students think, how students view themselves within their cultural heritage, and how students relate to others from differing cultures, backgrounds, and values. Every other fall semester, the GPI will be administered to comparative student cohorts (e.g., freshman, senior, study abroad, and ACE program participants). [Indirect Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Personal Responsibility

• Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment

The ACE program prepares students to use the skills and knowledge learned in their academic coursework to make a difference and improve life within their community by understanding their roles as community members at the local, regional/state, national, and international levels. Academic courses participating in the ACE program require students to submit a written reflective assignment on their participation in the program. Each fall semester, a sampling of the reflective assignments will be collected and evaluated against a common, internally developed social responsibility rubric. Data will be aggregated at the institutional, college, and department levels. [Direct Measure]

• Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale

Each fall semester, students enrolled in ACE designated courses complete the Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale. The scale contains Likert-item and short answer prompts on student attitudes toward community based learning, course impact on student

skill levels, and additional instructional feedback for professors (see Appendix E). Data will be aggregated at the institutional, college, department, and faculty levels. [Indirect Measure]

• Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)

The Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI) is a nationally administered survey designed to measure student relationships and connections between global student learning and curricular, co-curricular, and community-based experiences. The survey addresses the cognitive, intrapersonal, and interpersonal dimensions of how students think, how students view themselves within their cultural heritage, and how students relate to others from differing cultures, backgrounds, and values. Every other fall semester, the GPI will be administered to comparative student cohorts (e.g., freshman, senior, study abroad, and ACE program participants). [Indirect Measure]

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

The NSSE is a student perceptions survey designed to assess student and institution behaviors related to good practices in undergraduate education. Through self-selected peer group and student population cohorts, institutions are able to compare student responses on individual questions or on the five NSSE Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice on a national scale. The NSSE will be administered to SHSU freshmen and seniors every other fall semester. [Indirect Measure]

Criteria/Targets

Core assessment targets and benchmarks were identified by the Office of Academic Planning and Assessment in conjunction with the 2014 Core Assessment Committee and programmatic assessment faculty representatives. Existing programmatic criteria and course-embedded assessment targets have been informed by prior year's assessment activities and will continue for core curriculum assessment purposes. Newly adopted assessment measures will evolve so that targets and benchmarks are identified from baseline data collection. Discipline best practices and national norms have been applied, where appropriate. The following describes the preliminary assessment targets and/or benchmarks for each of the assessment measures.

Critical Thinking

- Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT)
 - Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the national norm (50 percent) for the CAT.
 - o Achievement Target: All SHSU Colleges will meet or exceed the national norm (50 percent) for the CAT.
- Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)
 - o Achievement Target: Students will demonstrate pre to post improvement of their overall scores.
- National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)
 - o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Critical Thinking.

Communication

- Writing in the Disciplines (WID) Project
 - o Achievement Target: The SHSU average will be 2.5 or higher on a 4-point scale using a locally developed writing rubric.

 Achievement Target: SHSU colleges will meet or exceed locally established benchmarks for their college. College benchmarks will be based on the Pilot WID Project conducted in Spring 2013 (results pending publication).

English-Course Embedded Assessment

- o Achievement Target: At least 70 percent of students will fall into the upper 50 percent on the four-point evaluation scale.
- o Achievement Target: Composition II students will outscore Composition I students.
- o Achievement Target: Sophomores will outscore Composition II students.
- o Achievement Target: Seniors will outscore sophomores.

• <u>Course-based Speech/Presentation Assessment</u>

Achievement Target: Targets for the Speaking Assessment are currently under development and will vary depending upon the type and nature of the rubric used to evaluate the student presentations. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Communication.

Empirical and Quantitative Skills

• Critical Thinking Assessment Test

- o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the national norm (50 percent) for the CAT.
- Achievement Target: All SHSU Colleges will meet or exceed the national norm (50 percent) for the CAT.

• Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)

- o Achievement Target: Students will demonstrate statistically significant improvement on select questions pre to post.
- Achievement Target: Student scores for select questions will exceed the previous year's benchmark.

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Empirical and Quantitative Skills.

Teamwork

• Course-based Teamwork Assessment

O Achievement Target: Targets for the Course-based Teamwork Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the teamwork assignment and the rubric/process used to evaluate the student work. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Teamwork Assessment Day

Achievement Target: Targets for the Teamwork Assessment Day are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the Teamwork assignment and the

rubric/process used to evaluate the student work. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Teamwork.

Social Responsibility

• Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment

O Achievement Target: Targets for the ACE Student Reflection Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the rubric/process used to evaluate the written student reflections. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Course Embedded Political Science Assessment

 Achievement Target: Targets for the Course Embedded Political Science Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the assessment process/mechanism. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale

O Achievement Target: Targets for the BACE Student Reflection Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the rubric/process used to evaluate the written student reflections. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)

- o Achievement Target: SHSU Freshmen and Seniors will meet or exceed the national averages for their classifications for all scales relating to Social Responsibility.
- Achievement Target: SHSU Seniors will outscore SHSU Freshmen for all scales relating to Social Responsibility.
- Achievement Target: SHSU students of all levels taking either ACE Courses or engaged in study abroad will meet or exceed the scores for seniors on all scales relating to Social Responsibility.

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Social Responsibility.

Personal Responsibility

• Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment

Achievement Target: Targets for the ACE Student Reflection Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the rubric/process used to evaluate the written student reflections. Targets will eventually include meeting or

exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale

O Achievement Target: Targets for the BACE Student Reflection Assessment are currently under development and will be dependent upon the nature of the rubric/process used to evaluate the written student reflections. Targets will eventually include meeting or exceeding established benchmarks for success, with benchmarks to be determined after a pilot administration of the assessment.

• Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)

- o Achievement Target: SHSU Freshmen and Seniors will meet or exceed the national averages for their classifications for all scales relating to Personal Responsibility.
- o Achievement Target: SHSU Seniors will outscore SHSU Freshmen for all scales relating to Personal Responsibility.
- Achievement Target: SHSU students of all levels taking either ACE Courses or engaged in study abroad will meet or exceed the scores for seniors on all scales relating to Personal Responsibility.

• National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)

o Achievement Target: SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on Questions aligned with Personal Responsibility.

Analysis

Results of core objective assessments will be evaluated at the institutional, college, department, and program levels as appropriate. At the institutional level core objective assessment results will be reviewed by appropriate core objective assessment sub-committees (e.g., Creative Thinking Assessment Committee, Communication Assessment Committee, Teamwork Assessment Committee, etc.). The core objective assessment committees will report to the Assistant Vice President for Academic Planning and Assessment and will be comprised of faculty from the academic disciplines responsible for implementation of the assessment measures. On an annual basis, the sub-committees will review (a) the continued appropriateness of the core objective assessment plan (i.e., assessment measures, sampling procedures, criteria and targets, timeline), (b) criteria and target attainment by assessment measure, and (c) provide recommendations for improvement based upon the collected data. Where possible, assessment results will also be provided to the appropriate college, department, and programs for review and action.

Additionally, assessment activities overlapping core objective and programmatic student learning assessment will be documented within the respective academic program's annual assessment plan. As part of the annual programmatic assessment of student learning outcomes, departments are required to document criteria and target attainment, specific action plans, and continuous improvement narratives. Further, programmatic assessment plans are subject to an annual meta-assessment review in which program and peer faculty and administrators review and evaluate program goals, objectives, criteria, indicators, findings, and actions culminating in feedback for assessment plan improvement.

Actions and Follow-Up

On an annual basis, the core objective sub-committees and programmatic assessment representatives will meet to review assessment results and develop appropriate action plans. These action plans will be documented through the core assessment process, and for programmatic assessment, within the university's online assessment tracking database. Additionally, the core objective sub-committees and

programmatic assessment representatives will be required to document both progress on prior year's action plans and future actions necessary for core objective and/or programmatic improvement.

As action plans will vary by assessment method and criteria/target attainment, a plan for improvement of student learning will grow organically as the assessment process progresses. Course-embedded assessment results tend to tie more directly to course-based changes and improvement strategies. Conversely, end of experience and nationally normed instruments provide rich data for the student population, but do not easily tie directly to specific course-based improvement strategies. As such, the institution will aggregate results at the institutional, college, and department levels where possible. In the event criteria and targets are not met for the student body or for particular student groups, this approach will allow for a more in-depth examination of course-taking patterns by student group. Analysis of course-taking patterns should allow for a more targeted course-based improvement strategy.

Peer Review

Sam Houston State University is a member of the Texas State University System along with seven other institutions: Lamar University, Texas State University, Sul Ross State University, Lamar Institute of Technology, Lamar State College-Orange, Lamar State College-Port Arthur, and Sul Ross State University Rio Grande College. The System's Council of Chief Assessment Officers will collectively conduct a peer review of the assessment of the six general education core objectives. On a two-year cycle each institution will draft a report describing the methodology, analysis, and findings of their general education core objective assessment. The reports will be reviewed by the members of the Council of Chief Assessment Officers and a summative report describing achievements and suggested actions will be developed and shared among the system institutions.

Appendix A SHSU Core Curriculum Assessment Plan Summary

Core Objective	Assessment Method	Туре	Criteria/Targets
	Critical Thinking Assessment (CAT) Took	Direct	University will meet or exceed the national norm (50%) for the CAT
	Critical Thinking Assessment (CAT) Test	Direct	All SHSU Colleges will meet or exceed the national norm (50%) for the CAT
Critical Thinking	Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)	Direct	Students will demonstrate pre to post improvement of their overall scores
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Critical Thinking
	Writing in the Disciplines (WID) Project	Direct	University Average will be 2.5 or higher on a 4-point scale using a locally developed writing rubric SHSU colleges will meet or exceed locally established benchmarks for their college.
			At least 70% of students will fall into the upper 50% on the four-point evaluation scale
			Composition II students will exceed Composition I Students
Communication Skills	Freshman English Course-Level Writing Assessment	Direct	Sophomores will exceed Composition II Students
			Seniors will exceed Sophomores
	Course-embedded Speech/Presentation Assessment	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Communication Skills
		Diversal	University will meet or exceed the national norm (50%) for the CAT
	Critical Thinking Assessment Test	Direct	All SHSU Colleges will meet or exceed the national norm (50%) for the CAT
Empirical and Overtitative Skills	Toyor Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)	Direct	Students will demonstrate statistically significant improvement on select questions pre to post
Empirical and Quantitative Skills	Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)	Direct	Student scores for select questions will exceed the previous year's benchmark
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Empirical and
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Quantitative Skills
	Course-embedded Teamwork Assessment	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
Teamwork	Teamwork Assessment Day	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Teamwork
	Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
	Course Embedded Political Science Assessment	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
Contal Book on the Who	, 55 , ,	Indirect	Targets Currently Under Development
Social Responsibility			University will meet or exceed the National Average, Seniors will outscore
	Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)		Seniors will outscore Freshman students
			Students engaged in ACE courses and Study Abroad will exceed students of all levels
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Social Responsibility
	Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment	Direct	Targets Currently Under Development
	Benefits Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Scale	Indirect	Targets Currently Under Development
Personal Responsibility		Indirect	University will meet or exceed the National Average
			Seniors will outscore Freshman students
			Students engaged in ACE courses and Study Abroad will exceed students of all levels
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Indirect	SHSU will meet or exceed the scores of our peer universities on questions aligned with Personal Responsibility

Appendix B SHSU Core Curriculum Assessment Timeline

Core Objective	Assessment Measure	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	Critical Thinking Assessment (CAT) Test	University Pilot	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration
Critical Thinking	Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Х		Х		Х
	Writing in the Disciplines (WID) Project	Х	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration
Communication Skills	English Course-Level Writing Assessment	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х
Communication Skins	Course-embedded Speech/Presentation Assessment	University Pilot	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Х		Х		Х
	Critical Thinking Assessment (CAT) Test	University Pilot	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration	Rotating Administration
Empirical and Quantitative Skills	Texas Assessment of Critical Thinking Skills (TACTS)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Х		Х		Х
	Course-embedded Teamwork Assessment	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
Teamwork	Teamwork Assessment Day	University Pilot		Х		Х	
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		X		Х		Х
	Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment	University Pilot	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
	Course Embedded Government/Political Science Assessment	University Pilot	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
Social Responsibility	Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
	Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)	University Pilot	Х		Х		х
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Х		Х		х
	Academic Community Engagement (ACE) Reflection Assessment	University Pilot	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Personal Responsibility	Benefits of Academic Community Engagement (BACE) Scale	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
r ersonal nesponsibility	Global Perspectives Inventory (GPI)	University Pilot	Х		Х		Х
	National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)		Х		Х		Х

Appendix C SHSU CAT Administration Cycle Core Curriculum Assessment

College	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
College of Business Administration			CAT			CAT
College of Criminal Justice				CAT		
College of Education			CAT			CAT
College of Fine Arts and Mass Communication	Pilot			CAT		
College of Health Sciences				CAT		
College of Humanities and Social Sciences		CAT			CAT	
College of Sciences		CAT			CAT	

Appendix D SHSU WID Administration Cycle Core Curriculum Assessment

College	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
College of Business Administration		WID			WID	
College of Criminal Justice		WID			WID	
College of Education	WID			WID		
College of Fine Arts and Mass Communication			WID			WID
College of Health Sciences			WID			WID
College of Humanities and Social Sciences			WID			WID
College of Sciences	WID			WID		

Appendix E

Benefits of Academic Community Engagement

Section A: This section is intended to help us determine your attitude toward community based learning. Please use the scale below to answer the following questions.

Strongly Disagree 1 ---- 2 ---- 5 Strongly Agree

A	ATTITUDES TOWARD COMMUNITY BASED LEAR!	VIN	F	446		Vojat	
1.	At the beginning of the semester, I was uneasy about the community engagement component of the course.	I	2	3	4	5	N/A
2.	Participating in the community helped enhance my leadership skills.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
3.	The community engagement I did in this course helped me to analyze problems.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
4.	The community engagement I did in this course helped me to think critically.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
5.	The community engagement in this course helped me to develop workplace skills.	J	2	3	4	5	N/A
6.	The community engagement in this course has made me more employable.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
7.	The community engagement in this course assisted me in defining the type of work I want to do in the future.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
8.	Participation in the community helped enhance my communication skills.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
9.	The community engagement in this course helped me to develop organizational skills.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
10.	The community engagement in this course helped me to connect theory with practice.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
11.	Working in the community helped me to define my personal strengths and weaknesses.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
12.	The community engagement in this course helped me to apply the subject matter in a "real world" situation.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
13.	This course helped me understand my <i>responsibility</i> to serve the community and develop my <i>citizenship skills</i> .	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
14.	This course helped me understand that I can make a difference in my community by being involved.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
15	The community engagement aspect of this course showed me how I could become <i>more involved</i> in my community.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
16.	The community engagement aspect of this course helped me to become more aware of the needs in my community.	I	2	3	4	5	N/A
17.	This course helped me understand the differences (i.e., cultural, racial, economic, etc.) that exist in our community.	I	2	3	4	5	N/A
18.	I probably will continue to serve the community after this course.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
19.	I would recommend this course to a friend, specifically because of the community engagement aspect.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
20.	At the end of the semester, I thought that the community engagement aspect of this course was valuable.	I	2	3	4	5	N/A

Please see reverse side to complete.

Section	B: The following questions deal specifically with this community engagement course.
:	On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is a bad experience and 10 is an excellent experience, I would rate my community engagement learning experience in this class/course as a
2.	Provide 3 separate words that best describe what you liked most about the community engagement part of this course:;;
	Provide 3 separate words that best describe what you disliked most about the community engagement part of this course:;;
4.	Professor's Name: Course Number:
5.	Name of the community partner/agency with whom you worked:
	How many ACE courses have you taken (including any other ACE courses this semester) other than this current course?
7.	How would you say this course was in comparison to any other ACE courses you have taken (or are taking) on a scale of 1 (lower quality) to 10 (greater quality) or N/A?
Section	C: CLASSIFICATION QUESTIONS.
1.	What is your GENDER? (Check one box) 1. Male 2. Female
2.	What is your age? in YEARS.
3.	Which of the following best describes your ETHNIC ORIGIN? (Check one box) 1. Caucasian (White)
4.	How many college credit hours are you CURRENTLY registered/enrolled for THIS semester? Semester HOURS.
5.	What is your current OVERALL GPA?/ 4.00
6.	What is your CURRENT academic classification? (Check one box) 1. Freshman (<30 hours) □ 2. Sophomore (30-59 hours) □ 3. Junior (60-89 hours) □ 4. Senior (≥90 hours) □ 5. Other □ □
7.	What is your MAJOR?
8.	On average, how many HOURS A WEEK do you typically work for PAY? HOURS/WEEK
9.	WHERE do you live DURING THE SCHOOL YEAR? (Check one box) 1. Dormitory or other campus housing 2. Other residence WITHIN Walker County (e.g., off campus housing) 3. Residence OUTSIDE Walker County
10.	Which of the following best describes your personal annual household INCOME for 2012? (Check one)
. -	1. Less than \$15,000 □ 2. \$15,001 – \$30,000 □ 3. More than \$30,000 □
Section	D: Additional Comments.