Comprehensive Examinations Evaluation

Oral

Area	High Pass (2)	Pass (1)	Unacceptable/Fail (0)	Score/comments
Quality of Response	Thoughtful and well stated in all sections; clear, persuasive, interesting; credible with verifiable ideas; convincing with clear thesis	Thorough and relevant; competent in the development of argument and information;	Overly simplified in thesis; insufficient in development of ideas; weak in textual evidence;	
Question#	and argument; answered very thoroughly;	thoughtful and reflective;	inadequate in demonstrating	
Evaluator:	appropriately difficult; representative of experts in the topical area; relevant and accurate in content; very insightful and inclusive of new ideas; very competent in demonstrating understanding of research design	adequate in evidence; adequately original; generally insightful and inclusive of new ideas; adequate in demonstrating understanding of research design	understanding of research design	
Knowledge of the Literature	Significant with ideas, claims and methods supported with publications from peer- reviewed journals and other respected	With only a few exceptions, significant with ideas, claims and methods that are supported	Either unsupported or support provided comes from less reliable sources; inadequate in	
Question#	scholarly sources; thorough and competent in documenting sources; very insightful in identifying where gaps in the literature exist	with publications from peer reviewed journals and other respected scholarly sources; adequate in identifying where	reviewing relevant literature	
Organization and Presentation	Very clearly focused with identifiable thesis; exceptionally organized with very apparent structures and transitions; on topic;	gaps in the literature exist Generally focused and logical with identifiable thesis; generally well organized with	Somewhat unfocused or unclear; weak; not related to thesis; abrupt in transition;	
Question#	coherent; highly appropriate style/tone	apparent structures and transitions; accurate with clearly stated ideas; appropriate	disconnected with random thoughts with no discernable point; sketchy with important	
		style/tone	details missing; inaccurate with erroneous information provided	

Doctoral Student:_____ Date of Oral Exam:____ Date of Written Exam:_____ Date of Written Exam:______ Date of Written Exam:_______ Date of Written Exam:______ Date of Written Exam:______ Date of Written Exam:______ Date of Written Exam:_______ Date of Written Exam:______ Date of Written Exam:_______ Date of Written Exam:________

Written

Area	High Pass (2)	Pass (1)	Unacceptable/Fail (0)	Score/comments
Quality of Response Question# Evaluator:	Thoughtful and well stated in all sections; clear, persuasive, interesting; credible with verifiable ideas; convincing with clear thesis and argument; answered very thoroughly; appropriately difficult; representative of experts in the topical area; relevant and accurate in content; very insightful and inclusive of new ideas; very competent in demonstrating understanding of research	Thorough and relevant; competent in the development of argument and information; thoughtful and reflective; adequate in evidence; adequately original; generally insightful and inclusive of new ideas; adequate in demonstrating understanding	Overly simplified in thesis; insufficient in development of ideas; weak in textual evidence; inadequate in demonstrating understanding of research	
Knowledge of the Literature Question# Evaluator:	Significant with ideas, claims and methods supported with publications from peer- reviewed journals and other respected scholarly sources; thorough and competent in documenting sources; very insightful in identifying where gaps in the literature exist	of research With only a few exceptions, significant with ideas, claims and methods that are supported with publications from peer reviewed journals and other respected scholarly sources; adequate in identifying where gaps in the literature exist	Either unsupported or support provided comes from less reliable sources; inadequate in reviewing relevant literature	
Organization and Presentation Question# Evaluator:	Very clearly focused with identifiable thesis; exceptionally organized with very apparent structures and transitions; (e.g., introduction, middle, conclusion, other organizational headings); on topic; written with intact paragraphs; coherent; highly appropriate style/tone	Generally focused and logical with identifiable thesis; generally well organized with apparent structures and transitions; accurate with clearly stated ideas; appropriate style/tone	Somewhat unfocused or unclear; weak; not related to thesis; abrupt in transition; disconnected with random thoughts with no discernable point; sketchy with important details missing; inaccurate with erroneous information provided	
Quality of Writing Question# Evaluator:	Precise, interesting, specific, and accurate; excellent in standard writing conventions (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, grammar, usage, paragraphing); generally ready for publishing with minor touch-ups APA Style - In excellent compliance	Readable and the writer's meaning on a general level is clear; adequate in standard writing conventions (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, grammar, usage, paragraphing); moderately ready for publication APA Style – In good compliance	Limited in vocabulary; unclear; inadequate in standard writing conventions (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization, grammar, usage, paragraphing); not ready for publication APA Style – Inadequate compliance	

 Doctoral Student:

 Date of Oral Exam:
